



# What is “in” Semidefinite Programming?

Renata Sotirov

Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
University of Melbourne

ORSUM

2004











# SemiDefinite Programming (SDP)



# SemiDefinite Programming (SDP)

... generalization of Linear Programming



# SemiDefinite Programming (SDP)

- ... generalization of Linear Programming
- ... unifies standard problems: LP and QP

# SemiDefinite Programming (SDP)

- ... generalization of Linear Programming
- ... unifies standard problems: LP and QP
- ... arise naturally as relax. of discrete opt. problems

# SemiDefinite Programming (SDP)

- ... generalization of Linear Programming
- ... unifies standard problems: LP and QP
- ... arise naturally as relax. of discrete opt. problems
- ... applications: global and comb. optim., in engineering, ...

# Space of Symmetric Matrices

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \{X : X = X^T, X \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}\}$$

# Space of Symmetric Matrices

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \{X : X = X^T, X \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}\}$$

- $X \in \mathcal{S}_n$  **positive semidefinite**  $X \succeq 0$  if  $z^T X z \geq 0, \forall z \neq 0$
- $X \in \mathcal{S}_n$  **positive definite**  $X \succ 0$  if  $z^T X z > 0, \forall z \neq 0$

# Space of Symmetric Matrices

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \{X : X = X^T, X \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}\}$$

- $X \in \mathcal{S}_n$  **positive semidefinite**  $X \succeq 0$  **if**  $z^T X z \geq 0, \forall z \neq 0$
- $X \in \mathcal{S}_n$  **positive definite**  $X \succ 0$  **if**  $z^T X z > 0, \forall z \neq 0$
- **Löwner partial order:**  $X \preceq Y$  **if**  $Y - X \succeq 0$

# Space of Symmetric Matrices

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \{X : X = X^T, X \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}\}$$

- $X \in \mathcal{S}_n$  **positive semidefinite**  $X \succeq 0$  **if**  $z^T X z \geq 0, \forall z \neq 0$
- $X \in \mathcal{S}_n$  **positive definite**  $X \succ 0$  **if**  $z^T X z > 0, \forall z \neq 0$
- **Löwner partial order:**  $X \preceq Y$  **if**  $Y - X \succeq 0$
- $\langle Y, X \rangle = \text{tr}(Y^T X)$  ... **trace inner product**

# Semidefinite Programming

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(PSDP)} \quad & \min \langle C, X \rangle \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & \mathcal{A}X = a \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

- $C, X \in \mathcal{S}_n$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  linear operator

# Linear Transformation $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

defined by  $\{A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 1, \dots, m\}$

$$\text{s.t. } (\mathcal{A}X)_i = \langle A_i, X \rangle$$

# Linear Transformation $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

defined by  $\{A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 1, \dots, m\}$

s.t.  $(\mathcal{A}X)_i = \langle A_i, X \rangle$

$$\mathcal{A}X = \begin{pmatrix} \langle A_1, X \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle A_m, X \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

# Linear Transformation $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

defined by  $\{A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 1, \dots, m\}$

$$\text{s.t. } (\mathcal{A}X)_i = \langle A_i, X \rangle$$

? adjoint

# Linear Transformation $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

defined by  $\{A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 1, \dots, m\}$

$$\text{s.t. } (\mathcal{A}X)_i = \langle A_i, X \rangle$$

? adjoint

$$\mathcal{A}^* : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle = \langle X, \mathcal{A}^*w \rangle \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{S}_n, \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

# Linear Transformation $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

defined by  $\{A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 1, \dots, m\}$

$$\text{s.t. } (\mathcal{A}X)_i = \langle A_i, X \rangle$$

? adjoint

$$\mathcal{A}^* : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle = \langle X, \mathcal{A}^*w \rangle \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{S}_n, \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*w = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i A_i$$

# ?duality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(PSDP)} \quad & \min \langle C, X \rangle \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & \mathcal{A}X = a \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

# ?duality

Lagrangian for PSDP:

$$\mathcal{L}(X, w) = \langle C, X \rangle + \langle a - \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle$$

# ?duality

Lagrangian for PSDP:

$$\mathcal{L}(X, w) = \langle C, X \rangle + \langle a - \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle$$

- PSDP  $\Leftrightarrow \min_{X \succeq 0} \max_w \mathcal{L}(X, w)$

# ?duality

Lagrangian for PSDP:

$$\mathcal{L}(X, w) = \langle C, X \rangle + \langle a - \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle$$

- PSDP  $\Leftrightarrow \min_{X \succeq 0} \max_w \mathcal{L}(X, w)$

- minimax inequality:

$$\min_{X \succeq 0} \max_w \mathcal{L}(X, w) \geq \max_w \min_{X \succeq 0} \mathcal{L}(X, w)$$

- rewrite  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}(X, w) = \langle a, w \rangle + \langle C - \mathcal{A}^*(w), X \rangle$$

- note

$$\min_{X \succeq 0} \mathcal{L}(X, w) = \begin{cases} \langle a, w \rangle & \text{if } C - \mathcal{A}^*(w) \succeq 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- rewrite  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}(X, w) = \langle a, w \rangle + \langle C - \mathcal{A}^*(w), X \rangle$$

- note

$$\min_{X \succeq 0} \mathcal{L}(X, w) = \begin{cases} \langle a, w \rangle & \text{if } C - \mathcal{A}^*(w) \succeq 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- dual of PSDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(DSDP)} \quad & \max \langle a, w \rangle \\ & \text{s. t. } C - \mathcal{A}^*(w) = Z \\ & \quad Z \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$



# Duality Theory

# Duality Theory

$$X \succeq 0 \text{ feasible (PSDP)} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}X = a$$

$$(w, Z), Z \succeq 0 \text{ feasible (DSDP)} \rightsquigarrow L = \mathcal{A}^T w + Z$$

# Duality Theory

duality gap:

$$\langle C, X \rangle - \langle a, w \rangle = \langle Z + \mathcal{A}^*(w), X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle = \langle Z, X \rangle \geq 0$$

# Duality Theory

duality gap:

$$\langle C, X \rangle - \langle a, w \rangle = \langle Z + \mathcal{A}^*(w), X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle = \langle Z, X \rangle \geq 0$$

weak duality:

if  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}X = a$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $Z = C - \mathcal{A}^*(w) \succeq 0$ , then

$$\langle C, X \rangle \geq \langle a, w \rangle$$

# Duality Theory

duality gap:

$$\langle C, X \rangle - \langle a, w \rangle = \langle Z + \mathcal{A}^*(w), X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle = \langle Z, X \rangle \geq 0$$

weak duality:

if  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}X = a$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $Z = C - \mathcal{A}^*(w) \succeq 0$ , then

$$\langle C, X \rangle \geq \langle a, w \rangle$$

?

# Duality Theory

duality gap:

$$\langle C, X \rangle - \langle a, w \rangle = \langle Z + \mathcal{A}^*(w), X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{A}X, w \rangle = \langle Z, X \rangle \geq 0$$

weak duality:

if  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}X = a$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $Z = C - \mathcal{A}^*(w) \succeq 0$ , then

$$\langle C, X \rangle \geq \langle a, w \rangle$$

?

**strong duality**

?

## optimality conditions:

$$\mathcal{A}X = a \quad \text{primal feasibility}$$

$$Z + \mathcal{A}^*(w) = C \quad \text{dual feasibility}$$

$$ZX = 0 \quad \text{complementarity slackness}$$

where  $X, Z \in \mathcal{S}_n$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$

## optimality conditions:

$$\mathcal{A}X = a \quad \text{primal feasibility}$$

$$Z + \mathcal{A}^*(w) = C \quad \text{dual feasibility}$$

$$ZX = 0 \quad \text{complementarity slackness}$$

where  $X, Z \in \mathcal{S}_n$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$

- provide the basis for:  
interior point methods,  
primal simplex method, dual simplex method

# Examples

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

**Examples**

# Minimizing the Maximum Eigenvalue

$\lambda_{\max}(A)$  ... largest eigenvalue of  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$

## Minimizing the Maximum Eigenvalue

$\lambda_{\max}(A)$  ... largest eigenvalue of  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$

Consider

$$\min_y \lambda_{\max}(A(y))$$

$$A(y) = A_0 + y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m,$$

for given  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 0, \dots, m$

# Minimizing the Maximum Eigenvalue

$\lambda_{\max}(A)$  ... largest eigenvalue of  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$

Consider

$$\min_y \lambda_{\max}(A(y))$$

$$A(y) = A_0 + y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m,$$

for given  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 0, \dots, m$

**SDP model?**

$$\lambda_{\max}(A(y)) \leq \alpha$$

# Minimizing the Maximum Eigenvalue

$\lambda_{\max}(A)$  ... largest eigenvalue of  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$

Consider

$$\min_y \lambda_{\max}(A(y))$$

$$A(y) = A_0 + y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m,$$

for given  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 0, \dots, m$

**SDP model?**

$$\lambda_{\max}(A(y)) \leq \alpha \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\max}(A(y) - \alpha I) \leq 0$$

# Minimizing the Maximum Eigenvalue

$\lambda_{\max}(A)$  ... largest eigenvalue of  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$

Consider

$$\min_y \lambda_{\max}(A(y))$$

$$A(y) = A_0 + y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m,$$

for given  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 0, \dots, m$

**SDP model?**

$$\lambda_{\max}(A(y)) \leq \alpha \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\max}(A(y) - \alpha I) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow A(y) - \alpha I \preceq 0$$

# Minimizing the Maximum Eigenvalue

$\lambda_{\max}(A)$  ... largest eigenvalue of  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$

Consider

$$\min_y \lambda_{\max}(A(y))$$

$$A(y) = A_0 + y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m,$$

for given  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_n, i = 0, \dots, m$

**SDP model?**

$$\lambda_{\max}(A(y)) \leq \alpha \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\max}(A(y) - \alpha I) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow A(y) - \alpha I \preceq 0$$

$$\min\{\alpha : \alpha I - A(y) \succeq 0\}$$

## Quadratic Optimization Problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x + c_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

# Quadratic Optimization Problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x + c_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

**SDP model?**

$\max t$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & b_0 \\ b_0^T & c_0 - t \end{pmatrix} + \tau_1 \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & b_1 \\ b_1^T & c_1 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \tau_m \begin{pmatrix} A_m & b_m \\ b_m^T & c_m \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$$

$$\tau_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

# Further Application Areas

- generalized eigenvalue problems
- minimization of polynomials
- minimizing the  $L_2$ -norm of a matrix

# Further Application Areas

- generalized eigenvalue problems
  - minimization of polynomials
  - minimizing the  $L_2$ -norm of a matrix
- 
- statistics
  - statistical physics

# Further Application Areas

- generalized eigenvalue problems
  - minimization of polynomials
  - minimizing the  $L_2$ -norm of a matrix
- 
- statistics
  - statistical physics
- 
- control theory
  - wire and transistor sizing
  - optimal parameters in MRI

# Further Application Areas

- generalized eigenvalue problems
- minimization of polynomials
- minimizing the  $L_2$ -norm of a matrix

- statistics
- statistical physics

- control theory
- wire and transistor sizing
- optimal parameters in MRI

- .....



and

# Combinatorial Optimization

- **Max-Cut Problem**
- **$k$ -Equipartition Problem**
- **Quadratic Assignment Problem**
- **Quadratic Knapsack Problem**
- .....

# Max-Cut

...on undir. edge-weighted graph with vert. set  $V := \{1, \dots, n\}$

**PROBLEM:** Find partition  $V$  into  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  s.t. the total weight of the edges joining  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  is **maximized**

# Max-Cut

...on undir. edge-weighted graph with vert. set  $V := \{1, \dots, n\}$

**PROBLEM:** Find partition  $V$  into  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  s.t. the total weight of the edges joining  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  is **maximized**

**NOTATION:**

- $x_i = 1, \forall i \in S$  and  $x_i = -1, \forall i \in V \setminus S$

# Max-Cut

... on undir. edge-weighted graph with vert. set  $V := \{1, \dots, n\}$

**PROBLEM:** Find partition  $V$  into  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  s.t. the total weight of the edges joining  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  is **maximized**

**NOTATION:**

- $x_i = 1, \forall i \in S$  and  $x_i = -1, \forall i \in V \setminus S$
- $A = (a_{ij})$  ... weighted adjacency mat.

# Max-Cut

... on undir. edge-weighted graph with vert. set  $V := \{1, \dots, n\}$

**PROBLEM:** Find partition  $V$  into  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  s.t. the total weight of the edges joining  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  is **maximized**

**NOTATION:**

- $x_i = 1, \forall i \in S$  and  $x_i = -1, \forall i \in V \setminus S$
- $A = (a_{ij})$  ... weighted adjacency mat.
- $L = (l_{ji}), l_{ii} = \sum_k a_{ik}, \forall i, l_{ij} = -a_{ij}, i \neq j$  ... Laplacian m.

$$z_{mc} = \max \{x^T L x : x \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$$

# Max-Cut

... on undir. edge-weighted graph with vert. set  $V := \{1, \dots, n\}$

**PROBLEM:** Find partition  $V$  into  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  s.t. the total weight of the edges joining  $S$  and  $V \setminus S$  is **maximized**

**NOTATION:**

- $x_i = 1, \forall i \in S$  and  $x_i = -1, \forall i \in V \setminus S$
- $A = (a_{ij})$  ... weighted adjacency mat.
- $L = (l_{ji}), l_{ii} = \sum_k a_{ik}, \forall i, l_{ij} = -a_{ij}, i \neq j$  ... Laplacian m.

$$z_{mc} = \max \{x^T L x : x \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$$

**NP-hard problem!**



applications of MC:

statistical physic, network design, VLSI, ...



applications of MC:

statistical physic, network design, VLSI, ...

techniques used for general MC:

- heuristic
- integer programming (branch-and-bound)
- approximation algorithms

# SDP Relaxation of MC

$$z_{mc} = \max \{x^T L x : x \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$$

# SDP Relaxation of MC

$$z_{mc} = \max \{x^T L x : x \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$$

use:  $x^T L x = \text{tr } L(x x^T)$

# SDP Relaxation of MC

$$z_{mc} = \max \{x^T L x : x \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$$

use:  $x^T L x = \text{tr } L(x x^T)$

replace:  $x x^T \Leftrightarrow Y$

# SDP Relaxation of MC

$$z_{mc} = \max \{x^T L x : x \in \{-1, 1\}^n\}$$

use:  $x^T L x = \text{tr } L(x x^T)$

replace:  $x x^T \Leftrightarrow Y$

note:  $x \in \{-1, 1\}^n \rightsquigarrow Y \succeq 0, \text{diag}(Y) = e$

# SDP Relaxation of MC

use:  $x^T L x = \text{tr } L(x x^T)$

replace:  $x x^T \Leftrightarrow Y$

note:  $x \in \{-1, 1\}^n \rightsquigarrow Y \succeq 0, \text{diag}(Y) = e$

$$z_{mc\text{-basic}} = \max\{\text{tr}(LY) : \text{diag}(Y) = e, Y \succeq 0\}$$

# SDP Relaxation of MC

use:  $x^T L x = \text{tr } L(x x^T)$


replace:  $x x^T \Leftrightarrow Y$

note:  $x \in \{-1, 1\}^n \rightsquigarrow Y \succeq 0, \text{diag}(Y) = e$

$$z_{mc\text{-basic}} = \max\{\text{tr}(LY) : \text{diag}(Y) = e, Y \succeq 0\}$$

Goemans & Williamson ('94) proved:

$z_{mc\text{-basic}}$  has error of  $\leq 13.82\%$  (!)



? can it be improved

strengthened  $z_{mc\text{-basic}} \rightsquigarrow Y \in \text{MET} := \text{triangle inequalities}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{MET} \quad y_{ij} + y_{ik} + y_{jk} \geq -1 \\ y_{ij} - y_{ik} - y_{jk} \geq -1 \\ -y_{ij} + y_{ik} - y_{jk} \geq -1 \\ -y_{ij} - y_{ik} + y_{jk} \geq -1, \quad \forall i < j < k \end{array}$$

strengthened  $z_{mc\text{-}basic} \rightsquigarrow Y \in \text{MET} := \text{triangle inequalities}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{MET} \\ y_{ij} + y_{ik} + y_{jk} \geq -1 \\ y_{ij} - y_{ik} - y_{jk} \geq -1 \\ -y_{ij} + y_{ik} - y_{jk} \geq -1 \\ -y_{ij} - y_{ik} + y_{jk} \geq -1, \forall i < j < k \end{array}$$

$$z_{mc\text{-}met} = \max\{\text{tr}(LY) : \text{diag}(Y) = e, Y \succeq 0, Y \in \text{MET}\}$$

- $z_{mc\text{-}met}$  is SDP with  $n + 4 \binom{n}{3}$

# Modelling 0–1 Variables

$B := \{x : x \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$  ... set of binary  $n$  vectors

# Modelling 0–1 Variables

$B := \{x : x \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$  ... set of binary  $n$  vectors

**?** the key idea

use:  $Y \in \mathcal{S}_n$  where

$$y_{ij} = x_i x_j \quad i \neq j$$

$$y_{ii} = x_i^2 = x_i$$

# Modelling 0–1 Variables

$B := \{x : x \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$  ... set of binary  $n$  vectors

**?** the key idea

use:  $Y \in \mathcal{S}_n$  where

$$y_{ij} = x_i x_j \quad i \neq j$$

$$y_{ii} = x_i^2 = x_i$$

$$Y = x x^T$$

# Modelling 0–1 Variables

$B := \{x : x \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$  ... set of binary  $n$  vectors

**?** the key idea

use:  $Y \in \mathcal{S}_n$  where

$$\begin{aligned}y_{ij} &= x_i x_j & i \neq j \\y_{ii} &= x_i^2 = x_i\end{aligned}$$

**!** exploit 0–1 properties of  $x$ :

$$x_i x_j \geq 0, \quad x_i(1 - x_j) \geq 0, \quad (1 - x_i)(1 - x_j) \geq 0$$

$$y_{ij} \geq 0, \quad y_{ii} \geq y_{ij}, \quad 1 + y_{ij} \geq y_{ii} + y_{jj}$$

**! further strengthening**

- $Y \succeq 0$

## ! further strengthening

- $Y \succeq 0$
- $Y - \text{diag}(Y)\text{diag}(Y)^T \succeq 0 \dots$  nonlinear in  $Y$

**but**

$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}(Y) + E_{n+1} \succeq 0$  where

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) := \begin{pmatrix} Y & \text{diag}(Y) \\ \text{diag}(Y)^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } E_{n+1} = e_{n+1}^T e_{n+1}$$

- representing quad. constr.:  $x^T D x \leq f$   
as lin. ineq. :  $\text{tr}(D Y) \leq f$

- representing quad. constr.:  $x^T D x \leq f$   
as lin. ineq. :  $\text{tr}(D Y) \leq f$

- modeling lin. ineq.:  $a_i^T x - b_i \leq 0$   
diag. repr. :  $\text{tr}(\text{Diag}(a_i) Y) \leq b_i$

- representing quad. constr.:  $x^T D x \leq f$   
as lin. ineq. :  $\text{tr}(D Y) \leq f$

- modeling lin. ineq.:  $a_i^T x - b_i \leq 0$

diag. repr. :  $\text{tr}(\text{Diag}(a_i) Y) \leq b_i$

**OR**

squared repr. :  $(a_i^T x)^2 \leq b_i^2$

that is:  $\text{tr}(a_i a_i^T Y) \leq b_i^2$

- representing quad. constr.:  $x^T D x \leq f$   
as lin. ineq. :  $\text{tr}(D Y) \leq f$

- modeling lin. ineq.:  $a_i^T x - b_i \leq 0$

diag. repr. :  $\text{tr}(\text{Diag}(a_i) Y) \leq b_i$

**OR**

squared repr. :  $(a_i^T x)^2 \leq b_i^2$

that is:  $\text{tr}(a_i a_i^T Y) \leq b_i^2$

**OR**

extended squared repr. :  $(a_i^T x)^2 \leq b_i (a_i^T x)$

that is:  $\text{tr}(a_i a_i^T - b_i \text{Diag}(a_i), Y) \leq 0$



!! OR

- multiply  $a_i^T x - b_i \leq 0$  by  $x_j$  and  $1 - x_j$

$$(a_i^T x)x_j - b_i x_j \leq 0, \quad (a_i^T x)(1 - x_j) - b_i(1 - x_j) \leq 0$$

define

$$M_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(a_i e_j^T + e_j a_i^T) - b_i e_j e_j^T$$

$$N_{ij} := \text{Diag}(a_i) - \frac{1}{2}(a_i e_j^T + e_j a_i^T) + b_i e_j e_j^T$$

now

$$\text{tr}(M_{ij} Y) \leq 0, \quad \text{tr}(N_{ij} Y) - b_i \leq 0$$



**...and much, much more ...**

# Algorithmic Approaches

- Interior Point Methods
- Spectral Bundle Method
- Bundle Methods
- Low Rank Factorization
- Solving Semidefinite Programs via Nonlinear Programming
- .....

# Softwares

- SeDuMi
- SDPA, (SDPARA – parallel version)
- SDPT3
- SCDP
- PENNON
- .....

# Softwares

- SeDuMi
- SDPA, (SDPARA – parallel version)
- SDPT3
- SCDP
- PENNON
- .....

**all available on internet and FREE !!**

# Softwares

- SeDuMi
- SDPA, (SDPARA – parallel version)
- SDPT3
- SCDP
- PENNON
- .....
- solving optim. problems via internet: **NEOS server**  
check: <http://www-neos.mes.anl.gov/>

# Books

- M. Laurent and F. Rendl.  
*Semidefinite Programming and Integer Programming.*  
A preliminary version: Report PNA-R0210, CWI,  
Amsterdam, 2002.
- E. de Klerk.  
*Aspects of Semidefinite Programming: Interior Point  
Algorithms and Selected Applications.*  
Applied Optimization Series, Volume 65. Kluwer, 2002.
- H. Wolkowicz, R. Saigal, and L. Vandenberghe (editors).  
*Handbook on Semidefinite Programming.* Kluwer, 2000.
- .....

?? TIRED

:(

**?? TIRED**

**:(**

**?! You want more informations**

**:)**

**?? TIRED**

**:(**

**?! You want more informations**

**:)**

**check Christoph Helmberg's SDP page**

**(with links to papers and software downloads)**

**<http://www-user.tu-chemnitz.de/helmberg/semidef.html>**





**Thank You!**

# Problems Involving Moments

- if  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$  are *moments* of some distribution, then

$$H(x_0, \dots, x_{2n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_{n+1} & \dots & x_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \succcurlyeq 0$$

- find distribution with **max** variance and  $l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$

$$\max y$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_2 - y & x_1 \\ x_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$$

$$l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2m$$

$$H(1, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \succeq 0$$